

Send to:

Saudi Med J. 2011 Feb;32(2):156-8.

Botulinum Toxin A in bruxers. One year experience.

Redaelli A¹.

Author information

Abstract

OBJECTIVE:

To review and assess the benefits, outcome, and side effects of using Botulinum Toxin A (BTxA) in the treatment of bruxism.

METHODS:

From January 2009 to January 2010, 120 bruxers were treated; no special examinations were carried out, since the exact diagnoses were made beforehand. All were treated with BTxA in the masseter muscle with standardized doses and injection sites. A follow-up examination was made 15 days post-procedure, and all patients responded to a short satisfaction questionnaire. Twenty-three patients were re-injected with additional doses of BTxA for insufficient results. Subjective results and side effects were assessed.

RESULTS:

All patients have declared a good/very good improvement in symptoms. No significant side effects were seen. At the study's conclusion, 36 patients (30%) declared a fair result, 79 (65.8%) good, and 5 (4.2%) excellent.

CONCLUSION:

Botulinum Toxin A is a simple method of treatment of bruxism, without side effects and appreciated by patients. The technique needs further studies to assess long-term outcome on target structures, especially on teeth.

PMID: 21301762 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

Botulinum Toxin A in bruxers.

One year experience Alessio Redaelli, MD.

ABSTRACT

علاج في (ال بوتولينوم الذي فان) أال نوع من ال بوتوكس فوائده و قديم دراسة :الأهداف
الجانبة و آثاره نتائج، دراسة إلى بالإضافة، (Botulinum) الأ سدنان صريف

تمتعالجتهممندونإجراءأيامنيد صري فالأ سناذوقدمريضاًمصائباً120م،وشملت2010مإلىيناير2009يدناير
مواضع في ال بوت وكس يد حقن المرضي معالجه ل قدت مت الفحوصاتونلكلأنالتشخيصكانمعروفمسبقاً
يدالإضافةالمرضي،يومآتمتعابينة15وبعدمروومدروسةق يالعضلاتالماضغةوبجرعاتمحددة

النتائج قد يمتد مريضاً، غير كاذب النتائج لأن مريض 23 إلى العلاج من

الدراسة أثناء الجاذبية والآثار الشخصية

نسبة كاذب حيث العلاج عن رضاهم عن أعبوا المرضى كافة أن إلى النتائج أشارت: **النتائج**
ظلة أيامنا الآثار الجانبية، وفي نهاية الدراسة كانت نتائج جيدة لم. جداً جيدة أو جيدة التحسن
(4.2%) مريضاً 5 في ومم تازة، (65.8%) مريضاً 79 في فتدجو، (30%) مريضاً 36 إلى علاج متوسط في
على اعتماداً وذلك

المرضى أباها التي التعديقات

(ال بوتي يلايني الادي فان) أال نوع من ال بوتي وكس ف عال ية مدى ال دراسة أثبتت :خاتمة

أثار دراسة أجل من الأبر حاث من المزيد دال علاج في الطرية قة هذه مائل ت ح تاج المرضي
الطويل الامدى على وذلك والأ سدنان العضلات على ال علاج

Objectives: To review and assess the benefits, outcome, and side effects of using Botulinum Toxin A (BTxA) in the treatment of bruxism.

Methods: From January 2009 to January 2010, 120 bruxers were treated; no special examinations were carried out, since the exact diagnoses were made beforehand. All were treated with BTxA in the masseter muscle with standardized doses and injection sites. A follow-up examination was made 15 days

post-procedure, and all patients responded to a short satisfaction questionnaire. Twenty-three patients were re-injected with additional doses of BTxA for insufficient results. Subjective results and side effects were assessed.

Results: All patients have declared a good/very good improvement in symptoms. No significant side effects were seen. At the study's conclusion, 36 patients (30%) declared a fair result, 79 (65.8%) good, and 5 (4.2%) excellent.

Conclusion: Botulinum Toxin A is a simple method of treatment of bruxism, without side effects and appreciated by patients. The technique needs further studies to assess long-term outcome on target structures, especially on teeth.

Saudi Med J 2011; Vol. 32 (2): 156-158

From Vascular and Aesthetic Medicine Area, Visconti di Modrone Medical Center, Milan, Italy.

Received 9th October 2010. Accepted 29th November 2010.

Address correspondence and reprint request to: Prof. Alessio Redaelli, Vascular and Aesthetic Medicine Area, Visconti di Modrone Medical Center, Milan 24, Via V. Di Modrone, Milan, Italy. Tel. +39 (02) 9818775. Fax. +39 (02) 9818775. E-mail: mail@docredaelli.com

B

distinguish between daytime bruxism, which is more widespread, as opposed to what most

chewing muscles with excessive force. A light activity of clenching and chewing during the

use of many neuroleptic and antipsychotic drugs, as all of these drugs are used in individuals

theory. In predisposed persons, stress

and emotion tend to trigger the parafunction)very similar to other parafunctions, especially

dentists particularly, are well aware that plaques, splints, or bites, as well as the psychological stress-reduction therapies, are often ineffective and do not provide long-lasting results. While it is a challenge to reduce the causes that provoke this abnormal muscle activity, on the other hand, it is easy to reduce its frequency and potency with the use of Botulinum Toxin A (BTxA), which has already been proposed for the correction of this particular problem, but

been found, which has proven effective in the vast majority of patients. The purpose of this publication is to review our case histories and assess the real benefits and risks of the use of BTxA in the treatment of Bruxism.

Methods. This retrospective study includes Bruxism patients, and complied with the guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration, especially regarding patient confidentiality, and ethical approval was obtained when needed.

From January 2009 to January 2010, among all the patients that arrived to the practice for

treated 120 patients for bruxism)106 women, and 14 men(. The criteria for inclusion of these patients also for the treatment of bruxism, were a complete and previously made diagnosis of this parafunction. No further examinations were made. In the first session the question: “are you a bruxer?” was posed to every patient. These 120 patients answered immediately affirmatively, since they were completely aware of the diagnosis. The criteria for exclusion were a not completely previously made diagnosis, all non bruxer patients and standard contraindications to the BTxA treatment. All patients read and signed an informed consent, which highlighted that in Italy treating masseter muscles with BTxA is an “off label” indication, as is the treatment of wrinkles outside the glabellar region. All patients understood the particularity of the treatment and accepted the procedure. We used BTxA)Vistabex 50 u, Allergan, Irvine California(. The vial is diluted with 1 ml of physiological saline solution; So, 0.02 ml contains exactly one unit. For the injections, we used a 0.5 ml syringe for diabetes Becton Dickinson with a fixed needle 30 G x 8 mm. All patients were marked prior to treatment, to assess the exact points of injection in the masseter muscle and the injection was made keeping the syringe at a perpendicular position, directly in the muscle (**Figure 1**). After 15 days, all patients were asked to evaluate the subjective result in a scale from 0 to 4)0=no result, 1=scarce, 2=fairly good,

3=good, and 4=excellent(. Among the 120 patients treated, the first 20 were injected with 8 U x side)3 injection sites: 2U + 2U on the mandibular edge and 4U in a point above(. Of these patients, all followed up on the fifteenth day, 2 reported a good result, while 18 reported a lower result)score between 0 and 1(, and were therefore adjusted with another injection of 6U)2+2+2U in the 3 classic points for a total of 14U after the first and second session. On review after a further 15 days, 17 patients declared a fairly good result, and excellent in one case; none of who were injected further. Since then, all the following patients)100(were treated with a standardized starting dose of 14u of BTxA per side)4+4U on the mandibular edge and 6 in the higher point()result scale from 2 to 4(. These patients have not been retouched. Five of these patients)4.1%(declared a result score from 0 to 1 and for this reason, were treated with 2u for each injection site)a total of 20U after the first and second session(. After a 15-day follow-up period, only one declared a good result while the other 4 still acclaimed the result to be scarce. Of 120 patients treated, 78)65%(, after a period ranging from 4-6 months, have continued the therapy, while 42)35%(have not yet been evaluated)either because they were “lost” or because the last treatment is still too recent and must not be made before at least 4 months have passed(. Of the 78 patients, all have returned for the aesthetic indication but 68)87.1%(of them wanted to re-inject the masseter muscle as well, which was re-injected with the total dose of the previous session.

Results. No patients remarked on any important side effects. Problems with chewing or swallowing while drinking or eating, or other sensations generally classified as unpleasant, were not reported. Of 120 patients treated, only 2)1.6%(declared a good result)score 3(with 8 U per side. The other 18 patients treated with only 8U per side declared a poor result, and for this retouched with 6 U more. 8 U per side are not enough to

Figure 1 - Direction of the syringe, directly in the muscle.
www. smj.org.sa Saudi Med J 2011; Vol. 32)2(**157**

Botulinum toxin in bruxers ... *Redaelli*

have good results. Most patients)113 patients, 94.1%(declared a fairly good to excellent result)score 2 to 4(, when injected with 14 U per side and in particular: no patient declared no or a poor result, 32)26.7%(declared a fairly good result, 76)63.3%(good, and 5)4.2%(patients even had an excellent result with great pain relief, especially upon awakening in the morning, a feeling of relaxation significantly different from previous experience. Five patients treated with 14 U declared an insufficient result, and were therefore retouched with another 6 U per side. The result improved to “good” in only one patient, while in the other 4

)3.3%(, the result apparently remained unchanged)scarce(. Among all the 120 consecutive patients treated, 4)3.3%(patients declared scarce result, 32)26.7%(fairly good, 79)65.8%(good, and 5)4.2%(excellent.

Discussion. Over recent years, BTxA has proven to be an extremely effective drug if well

declared positive results, which suggest that the Masseter muscle is almost always most responsible for bruxism, and that good results are present, even without special exams prior to the procedure. A small minority declared poor results, because in these particular patients, bruxism probably resulted from a hyper-tonicity also of other masticatory muscles. In fact, if the patient has already been diagnosed with bruxism, additional tests are unnecessary, and patients can be treated with standardized doses without any further diagnosis. The best dosage seems to be 14 U per side, but when treating patients with powerful muscles, even 20 U for each muscle could be the most effective dosage, without any side effects. There were no significant differences between one and 2 sessions. Finally, the high percentage of patients who chose to repeat the treatment months later, proves the high satisfaction rating of the

patients.

Botulinum Toxin A appears to be very effective in treating chronic facial pain associated with

significant improvement of symptoms, with much better results than patients treated with a placebo. While our percentage of excellent results was not as large, most of our patients declared a good overall improvement. As in all other reported scientific studies casistics,

there were very few side effects, which is another reason BTxA remains a very safe

In conclusion, Bruxism continues to be a widespread pathology among the population of industrialized countries and may sometimes be very debilitating. Its treatment with BTxA, after the revision of our study, proved to be very effective in reducing subjective symptoms especially during the night and on awakening in the morning. Our results have been very encouraging, and further studies are needed to confirm the reduced impact of this parafunction on target organs, in particular the teeth. A further application may be its use to reduce clenching and chewing in all those patients who must undergo dental therapies, prior to bone implants, or “Immediate Load” dental implants.

References

1. Gonçalves DA, Dal Fabbro AL, Campos JA, Bigal ME, Speciali JG. Symptoms of temporomandibular disorders in the population: an epidemiological study. *J Orofac Pain* 2010 Summer; 24: 270-278.
2. Huynh N, Lavigne GJ, Okura K, Yao D, Adachi K. Sleep bruxism. *Handb Clin Neurol* 2011; 99: 901-911.
3. Poryazova R, Bassetti CL. Sleep and movement disorders. *Ther Umsch* 2007; 64: 63-72.
4. Lavigne GJ, Khoury S, Abe S, Yamaguchi T, Raphael K. Bruxism physiology and pathology: an overview for clinicians. *J Oral Rehabil* 2008; 35: 476-494.
5. Glaros AG. Emotional factors in temporomandibular joint disorders. *J Indiana Dent Assoc* 2000-2001; 79: 20-23
6. Bakke M. Temporomandibular dysfunction causes orofacial pain. *Ugeskr Laeger* 2010; 172: 3037-3039.
7. Guarda-Nardini L, Manfredini D, Salamone M, Salmaso L, Tonello S, Ferronato G. Efficacy of botulinum toxin in treating myofascial pain in bruxers: a controlled placebo pilot study. *Cranio* 2008; 26: 126-135.
8. Song PC, Schwartz J, Blitzer A. The emerging role of botulinum toxin in the treatment of temporomandibular disorders. *Oral Dis* 2007; 13: 253-260.
9. Von Lindern JJ, Niederhagen B, Bergé S, Appel T. Type A botulinum toxin in the treatment of chronic facial pain associated with masticatory hyperactivity. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2003; 61: 774-778.
10. Redaelli A, editor. Botulinum Toxin A in aesthetic medicine, with the treatment of Hyperhidrosis and in odontostomatology. Basic principles and clinical practice. Florenz)Italy(: Officina Editoriale Oltrarno - Firenze; 2010.
11. Ihde SK, Konstantinovic VS. The therapeutic use of botulinum toxin in cervical and maxillofacial conditions: an evidence-based review. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2007; 104: e1-e11.